Rigidity and flexibility in protein-protein interaction networks: a case study on neuromuscular disorders

Ankush Sharma*[1] , Maria Brigida Ferraro[2], Francesco Maiorano[1], Francesca Del Vecchio Blanco[3] and Mario Rosario Guarracino[1]

[1]Laboratory for Genomics,Transcriptomics and Proteomics, High Performance Computing and Networking Institute, National Research Council, Via P. Castellino, 111, Naples, Italy
[2]Department of Statistical Sciences, Sapienza University of Rome, P.le A. Moro 5, Rome, Italy
[3]Department of Biochemistry, Biophysics and General Pathology,Second University of Naples, Italy.

Email: Ankush Sharma *- ankush.sharma@na.icar.cnr.it; Maria Brigida Ferraro - mariabrigida.ferraro@uniroma1.it; francesco.maiorano@na.icar.cnr.it; Francesca Del Vecchio Blanco - francesca.delvecchioblanco@unina2.it; Mario Rosario Guarracino - mario.guarracino@cnr.it;

*Corresponding author

arXiv:1402.2304v2 [q-bio.MN] 17 Feb 2014

Abstract

Background

Mutations in proteins can have deleterious effects on a protein's stability and function, which ultimately causes particular diseases. Genetically inherited muscular dystrophies include several genetic diseases, which cause increasing weakness in muscles and disability to perform muscular functions progressively. Different neuro-muscular diseases are caused by different types of mutations in the gene coding. Mutations in genes make defunct proteins or none at all. Defunct or missing protein interactions in human proteome may cause a stress to its neighboring proteins and subsequently to modules it is involved in. Network biology is utilized to gain knowledgeable insights on system properties of complex protein-protein interaction maps governing affected cellular machinery due to disease causing mutations. We therefore aimed to understand the effects of mutated proteins on interacting partners in different muscular dystrophies.

Results

We investigated rigidity and flexibility of protein-protein interaction subnetworks associated with causative mutated genes showing high mean interference values in muscular dystrophy. Rigid component related to Eukaryotic Translation Elongation Factor 1 Alpha 1 (EEF1A1) subnetwork and members of 14.3.3 protein family formed the core of network showed involvement in molecular function related to protein domain specific binding. Core nodes of core modules showed high modular overlapping and bridgeness values. The subnetworks showing highest flexibility comprised of seed nodes Calcium channel, voltage-dependent, L type, alpha 1S subunit (CACNA1S) and calmodulin 1 (CALM1) showing functionality related to Voltage-dependent calcium channel. The interconnected subnet of proteins corresponding to known causative genes having large genetic variants are shared in different Muscular dystrophies (MDs) inferred towards comorbidity in diseases.

Conclusion

The studies demonstrates core network of MDs as highly rigid component constituting of large intermodular edges and interconnected hub nodes suggesting high information transfer flow.The core skeleton of the network are organized in protein binding and protein specific domain binding.This suggests neuro-muscular disorders may initiate due to interruption in molecular function related with the core and its aggression may depend on the tolerance level of the networks.

Introduction

Neuro-muscular diseases, such as muscular dystrophies (MDs), are associated with muscle weakness, muscle atrophy and a progressive cardiac dysfunction over time. Any muscle can be affected by this condition, however it is most prominent in limb-girdle and proximal muscle groups with varied involvement of distal muscles [1]. Myopathies in effect for a long period may produce loss of absolute volume of muscle and these conditions are associated with muscle wasting [2]. Genetically heterogeneous MDs range from severe to benign forms such as Ducchene to Limb girdle muscular dystrophy (mild). Mitochondrial abnormalities are associated with ocular myopathies, whereas metabolic disorders are involved in the acquired chronic inflammatory myopathies dermatomyositis and polymyositis [1].

Most diseases are caused by mutations in more than one gene which can either be dominant or recessive. In MDs, Such dominant and recessive pathological defects are shared by a subset of genes involved in diverse mechanisms related to muscle degeneration and weakness. Such mechanisms orchestrate many intricate and common biological pathways [3]. Biological pathways consist of a set of dependent actions carrying out a specific function. They take place in cells among molecules such as proteins, metabolites and enzymes. A particular function can be hampered if one or more components of a biological pathway fail to perform. Damaging mutations in proteins can be considered as one of such cases, aborting their functionality and related pathways. Missense mutations in muscular dystrophies are often associated with neuro-muscular abnormalities and cognitive impairment [4, 5].

Biological networks

Multiple biological pathways lack boundaries, often are interconnected, and work together to accomplish tasks. The interconnected component of biological pathways is called a biological network. Networks are valuable prototypes for analyzing the complexity in cellular environments and the interactions, which influence the normal functionality of the cells.

Biological networks exhibit modular organization dependent on functions. Modules are sets of nodes that share many edges, and are loosely connected to the rest of the network, representing densely associated entities. Core nodes of a module have large number of edges to other proteins within modules and are essential to its functions. Modules exhibit fuzzy boundaries and are interconnected to perform wide variety of functions in cells. Perturbed components in a network, such as in the case of mutated proteins, can influence the coherent overlapping modules in human proteome [6]. Proteins linking different modules together are important for inter-modular communications and show high bridgeness values [7]. Modules in protein-protein interaction networks of yeast partially disintegrate upon stress, removing important inter modular edges, thus preventing flow of information [8]. Moreover, if a module contains proteins with unknown function, the functional characterization may help in determining functional prediction of those proteins. [9, 10].

Better understanding of structural functional aspects can be studied taking dynamics of networks into account, along with network topology. The latter provides understanding of network architecture. Biological networks show non random degree distribution and small world property. Non random degree distribution also known as scale-free degree distribution, in which large number of nodes have fewer edges and few nodes having many edges are known as hub nodes. Their targeted deletion disrupts the network structure [11, 12].

Hub proteins serve as common edges and mediate short path lengths between other edges. Shortest path

length is a distance between two nodes and median of the means of the shortest path lengths connecting each nodes to all other vertices is known as characteristic path length. Small world networks, in which any two nodes in the networks can be connected with short paths, exhibit smaller diameter, small characteristic path length, and high clustering coefficient [13]. Clustering coefficient ranges from 0 to 1, and provides a measure of the degree to which nodes tend to cluster in network [7]. Betweenness centrality is the number of shortest paths from all vertices to all others that pass through that node. It measures traffic loads through one node as information flows over a network primarily following the shortest available paths. High betweenness centrality proteins behaves as a bottlenecks in protein-protein interaction networks. Bottleneck proteins regulate most of the informational flow, hence indicates the essentiality of proteins [14, 15]. Clique is a set of nodes which have all possible ties among themselves. A maximal clique is a clique that is not contained in any other clique.

Propagation, rigidity and flexibility in networks

The diseased state can be characterized as a malformed propagation state among constituting modules. Dynamics of modules from rigid to flexible state depend upon the environmental cues and the internal structure of the networks. Removal of protein or protein complexes may significantly alter the network, affecting flow of information, efficiency and adaptability. Adaptability is associated with flexibility of the networks, whereas rigidity is associated with the memory [16]. Rigidity and flexibility can be assessed by combinatorial graph theory and it is described by degrees of freedom and number of over-constraints associated with the nodes in the network [17].

Our approach

In this article, we focused on (*i*) assessing the snapshot of dynamics of network propagation and interference from pairs of hub and essential mutated proteins causative in different neuro-muscular disorders; (*ii*) evaluating rigidity and flexibility (tolerance level) in protein-protein interaction networks of the most interfered sub-networks in muscular dystrophies. (*iii*) determining the modular organization and, (*iv*) characterizing molecular function of modules.

A systematic characterization of MDs has to take into account the overlap of different traits and the heterogeneity of cellular processes for many of the genes involved. The analysis and classification of multiple genes and their mutations is still challenging in terms of cost and time, even with the advent of sequencing technologies [18]. The proposed strategies overcomes the limits of existing solutions which compare protein-protein interaction networks of disease and control states, solely on topological characteristics. We are able to predict which sub-networks are more rigid in presence of such mutations. To best of our knowledge, we investigated for the first time, the rigidity and flexibility issues in sub-networks of human proteome.

Methods

The neuro-muscular diseases are broadly classified in 13 groups based on previous studies [19]. We construct the protein interaction map of causative genes involved in the disease using a dataset by Center for Biomedical Computing at University of Verona [20]. The dataset is extracted from various databases storing high

throughput methods and experimentally known interactions [21–24], and it is manually curated and updated. Information on disease causing variants is downloaded from Leiden Open Variant Database [25]. Networks are visualized using Cytoscape and Gephi [26, 27]. Network layout is performed using force directed graph drawing [28]. Centrality measure of nodes, which gives relative importance of nodes within a network, are calculated using Netanalyzer [12, 29] and in-house R and python scripts. Hub and key proteins of the interconnected seed network are computed using degree distribution, betweenness centrality (BC), maximal clique centrality (MCC), and bottleneck nodes [30].

Network propagation

The interference is the measure of overlapping flow among nodes [31]. It is obtained visiting each node by random walks initiating at different sources. Larger interference implies wider overlap between flows originating from different sources and small interference signifies little overlap. The emitting model is obtained using `qmbpmn-tools` [32], which is initiated with every possible pair of proteins in the first order network, to compute mean interference and maximum visits.

Module detection and functional characterization

ModuLand framework analyzes the overlapping modules in networks using bridgeness and overlapping values. It uses Proportion Hill module membership assignment method and NodeLand influence function algorithm [33,34]. In ModuLand framework, modularity of a network is computed by determining community centrality by summing up influence zones containing a given edge. Overlapping modules are identified on the basis of hills on community centrality landscape, and each node of the network is assigned to the module with different strength [33, 34]. Overlap values for nodes demonstrates the effective number of modules to which they are assigned, and bridgeness values are high, if nodes show larger overlap between many module pairs. Modules are named after the core node of the module. BiNGO plug-in is used for functional characterization of the modules [35]. Molecular function is assigned on consensus basis to the modules based on p-values and involvement on core nodes from top ten core nodes in the molecular function.

Rigidity and flexibility

Rigidity and flexibility issues were previously studies at a structural level of proteins through various methodologies [36–39]. We investigated the properties characterizing different states such as rigidity and flexibility of a complex system upon perturbation. Rigidity and flexibilty for subnetworks showing maximum interference values and first order network are analyzed using pebble game algorithm in KINARI-lib [17, 40]. The pebble game algorithm computes total number of degrees of freedom and overconstrained regions in a graph. This algorithm requires sparsity parameters (k, l) on protein-protein interaction networks to be analyzed. Pebble game algorithm on 2D bar joint framework is guaranteed for rigidity to all (k, l)-sparse graphs for k and l such that $l \in (0, 2k)$. Initially, k pebbles are posed on each vertex with no edges and then one of pebbles is displaced from i after adding an edge ij towards j, if at least $l + 1$ pebbles are between vertices l and j. In continuation to this, ij is reversed and pebble is moved from j to l, if pebble is on j and ij edge exists in pebble game's graph. Any subset of n' vertices spans at most $kn' - l$ vertices in (k, l) graph is

called sparse whereas it is called as tight or rigid if it has n vertices and $kn - l$ edges [41–43]. We compute the index (overconstraints - degree of freedom) divided by average degree of subnetwork to avoid size bias of the network in calculation of DOF's and over-constrained regions. High positive values obtained for the subnetwork are associated to high rigidity, and negative values with flexibility. This is based on the fact that higher degrees of freedom represent higher flexibility while higher over-constrained regions or nodes indicate rigidity of the network.

Results

Network Topology

There are 206 proteins affected by causative mutations in genes responsible for MDs, which are experimentally known to show protein-protein interaction. Such proteins form an interconnected component (seed network) of the protein-protein interaction map composed of 137 nodes and 307 edges. The first order network obtained from the 206 proteins is composed of 4076 proteins and 133847 edges. Both networks satisfy scale free property, following the power law in degree distribution [11]. Scale free property infer the robustness of such networks against random failures of the nodes (Figure 1). The seed network has a clustering coefficient of 0.28 whereas first order network forms clusters with clustering coefficient 0.33. The small characteristic path length of 3.95 and 2.53 for interconnected seed network and first order network respectively shows average efficiency of transmission of information in network in less than 4 steps. Essential and hub nodes in the interconnected component is calculated using centrality statistics, which gives measure of load and linkedness of the nodes [Table 1]. Large number of genetic variants are associated with hub proteins and essential nodes (Supplementary Table S1)

Table 1: Key and Hub nodes computed based on centrality statistics: Degree, Betweenness Centrality, Bottleneck and Maximal Clique Centrality. The key nodes in bold are considered on consensus basis if occurred at least twice in detection.

Degree	Betweenness Centrality	Bottleneck	Maximal Clique Centrality
TTN	**DAG1**	**TTN**	**TTN**
TPM1	**TTN**	**DAG1**	**DES**
LMNA	**VCL**	**ACTA1**	**TPM1**
ACTN2	ACTA1	**TPM1**	**LMNA**
DES	**LAMA2**	**HSPB1**	**MYLK2**
ACTA1	PGK1	LAMA2	**ACTN2**
FLNA	**FLNA**	**FLNA**	MYL3
HSPB1	ITGA7	PGK1	MYH7
VCL	DMD	ITGA7	CACNA1S
MYLK2	**TPM**	**VCL**	MYH2



Figure 1: *(A) Modular organization of giant component of protein-protein interaction network of seed mutated nodes in different muscular dystrophies. (B) Average clustering Coefficient distribution of nodes (C) Degree distribution of proteins in networks. (D) First order network of 206 proteins showing causative mutations (E) Average clustering coefficient distribution of proteins (F) Degree distrubution of proteins in first order network.*

Functional characterization

The interconnected seed network is modularly organized around five overlapping modules. Hub node TTN formed core module of the seed network with molecular function related to structural molecule activity

($p = 3.9E-7$, GO-id 5198), along with calmodulin binding ($p = 2.8E-5$, GO-id 5516). Module SGCA and Module SGCG are involved in calcium ion binding ($p = 5.9E-4$ and $p = 4.3E-4$, GO-id 5509), respectively, which is known to be involved in diseased state of Sarcoglycanopathies [44]. Module GYG1 is involved in catalytic activity such as transferase activity ($p = 2.2E-4$, GO-id 16740). Module GARS comprises of only 3 proteins with functionality related to ligase activity ($p = 6.9E-3$, GO-id 16874). All those modules are depicted in (Figure 1(A)). Nineteen overlapping modules characterize the first order network on consensus basis with function related to protein, DNA binding, transferase activity and structural molecule activity (Table 2). The top ten core nodes governing the modular function is listed in (Supplementary Table S2). The interconnected hub nodes constitutes the core skeleton of the first order network as well as central module EEF1A1.(Supplementary Figure S1).



Figure 2: *Relationship between disease and proteins corresponding to causative mutated genes. Red nodes are diseases and Grey − > blue is low to high value of genetic variants.*

Protein-disease association

The interaction map of formed by the seed mutated proteins and the thirteen neuro-muscular diseases is composed of 268 nodes with 285 edges. Disconnected components of the network relate to congenital myasthenic syndromes,linked with 13 proteins. The giant meta-network of the remaining twelve neuro-muscular disorders comprise 262 nodes and 270 edges. The network in (Figure 2) depicts proteins showing higher genetic variants shared by many different muscular diseases. Congenital myopathies and limb girdle dystrophies, dominant (LGD, dominant) share numerous interacting partners with congenital myopathies and hereditary cardiomyopathies. LMNA protein shows involvement in four different muscular dystrophic diseases. The DMD protein connected with large number of interacting partners in first order protein interaction map, it has highest genetic variants, and it is specifically linked to muscular dystrophy and hereditary cardiomyopathies in protein-disease interaction map (Figure 2). It is already very well known fact that cardiac disease is a clinical manifestation related to muscular dystrophies. [45].

Network propagation from key pair of causative proteins in muscular dystrophies

Modules exhibit fuzzy boundaries as discussed earlier and it is therefore hard to understand the rigidity and flexibility associated with them. In order to understand the rigidity and flexibility associated with the modules, we compute the interference (flow overlap) on first order network from pair of key proteins of seed network listed in (Table 1). The network propagation initiating from these nodes is obtained with the using emitting model of `qmbpmn-tools`, which calculates interference in the first order network (Supplementary Table S3). From the top forty proteins showing maximum interference from each pair of mutated proteins. We then ranked them on quartiles of the computed mean interference. In (Table 3), proteins with high bridgeness in the network (>1) are shown as receiving interference.

Maximum number of visits producing highest mean interference value is observed in the core node YWHAZ, present in 3 different modules and responsible for molecular function related to protein domain specific binding ($p = 4.0E - 06$, GO-id 19904). The other proteins with mean interference greater than quartile percentage of 90 [see Supplementary Table S4] and large number of visits show diverse functionality ranging from localization ($p = 4.6E - 2$, GO-id 51179) to cellular component organization ($p = 2.3E - 2$, GO-id 16043) and intracellular transport ($p = 4.6E - 2$, GO-id 46907). CACNA1S receives largest mean interference value from the single duplet of DES/TPM1, which shows involvement in voltage gated calcium channel activity and skeletal muscle adaptation ($p = 3.8E - 2$, GO-id 43501). DES/TPM1 proteins are functional in structural constituent of cytoskeleton ($p = 1.8E - 3$, GO-id 5200).

Rigidity and flexibility in protein protein interaction networks

We focus on assessing network rigidity and flexibility issues and determine the tolerance level of the sub-networks of the proteins showing maximum interference. Extracted subnetworks show heterogeneity and varying clustering coefficient ranging from 0.531 to 0.994 with varied clustering pattern of proteins. [Supplementary table S5]. This provide an overview on the global network, and the possibility to determine which subnetwork is susceptible to affect the functionality of the modules. KINARI-lib computed The rigidity/flexibility for whole network for $k = 2$ and $l = 3$ showing 24509 degrees of freedom and 130751 over

constraints. Variable rigidity/flexibility in subnetworks shed light on varied tolerance level in structural and functional integrity based on degrees of freedom and over constraints [Figure 3].



Figure 3: *Showing ratio of DOF (Degree of Freedom) and average degree, overconstraints and average degree and difference of DOF and overconstraints with respect to average degree to overcome size bias of the network $k = 2$ and $l = 3$ for the subnetworks of nodes listed in Table 1 which showed mean interference values calculated from emitting model of ITMprobe. Positive values in green line indicate rigidity and negative values indicate flexibility. Higher the positive value higher the network is rigid and vice versa.*

Subnetwork of core node eukaryotic translational factor 1 alpha (EEF1A1) of core module EEF1A1 shows rigidity with many folds over-constrained nodes over degrees of freedom. This subnetwork has even higher constrained nodes as compared with first order network of the seed nodes. This module function is related to protein binding ($p = 2.6E - 02$).

The rigid sub networks corresponding to 14-3-3 proteins family, which binds to functionally diverse signaling proteins, are also rigid components of the network. The 14.3.3 family protein subnetworks (YWHAE, YWHAG, YWHAZ and YWHAQ) consitituting the core nodes of module Heat Shock 70kDa Protein 8 (HSPA8) in this network share many interacting partners. The rigidity results for over-contrained regions over degree of freedoms in subnetworks are consistent for all possible value analyzed, and for all the possible combination of $k = 1, \ldots, 6$ and $l = 1, \ldots, 6$. (Supplementary Figure S2). The CACNA1S and CALM1 sub-networks demonstrate maximum flexibility with minimum over-constraints with nodes. With respect to degrees of freedom, they show involvement in functionality related voltage-gated calcium channel activity ($p = 2.2E - 02$, GO–id 5245) and calcium ion binding ($p = 2.9E - 3$, GO-id 5509). The flexibility in this subnetworks indicates functional flexibility of calcium, that is known to have various functions in our body and countering external influences for proper functioning in cellular environment calcium synergists are needed.

Discussion

The rigidity and flexibility issues in networks are much less studied in context of biological networks. Biological networks have modular organization [46] without proper boundaries therefore, it is hard to understand the tolerance level of different overlapping modules and their molecular function of the protein-protein interaction networks. In this article, our focus on rigidity and flexibility analysis on protein-protein interaction subnetworks after detection of interference values from pair of key causative agents.

Seed protein-protein interaction map and first order neighbors

The seed network and first order network shows robustness and small world behavior. Lower clustering coefficient shows sparsity in interaction map as compared with cancer, aging subnetwork in human proteome [47]. Essential and hub proteins in seed network computed using various centrality measures such as linkedness of nodes, shortest path traversing through nodes, key connector proteins and maximally connected subgraphs demonstrates high number of genetic variants corresponding to the proteins (see Supplementary Table S1). LMNA protein,a hub protein linked with 4 different neuro-muscular diseases. In our work, we found that LMNA protein receives interference from different pairs and also emits maximum interference to hub nodes at first order network when paired with other mutated proteins (see additional material 1). LMNA is suggested to play a role in nuclear stability, chromatin structure and gene expression [48]. This infers towards the epigenetic regulation in progression of diseases by gene expression coordination in regulating different genes and subsequently to proteins in first order interactions as well as indirect interactions. Large number of proteins with high genetic variants shared different neuro-muscular diseases which indicated towards co-morbidity in MDs. Hub proteins with high genetic variants are positioned at central position and can possess lethal characteristics in diseased state of muscular dystrophies [12]. This lethality can disrupt the functionality of cells. Interconnected hub proteins of robust and small world first order MDs network displays high betweenness centrality values which indicates these proteins as maximum load bearing nodes in the network forming the core skeleton of the network. Core nodes of central module EEF1A1 constitutes of the same interconnected hubs, which suggests faster information flow at the core skeleton of network. Muscle interactome in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* and *Caenorhabditis elegans* shows higher informational flow [49]. Network propagation from essential and hub proteins from seed network demonstrates highly central proteins receiving mean interference and visits from different pair of complexes.

Structural integrity in protein-protein interaction networks

Rigidity index in twelve subnetworks of the proteins that received large mean interference value (q$>$ 90)shows variability. The subnetworks constituting core module and core skeleton of first order networks shows highest rigidity. In details,our studies demonstrate the subnetwork of interconnected neighbors of EEF1A1 having hub property and core proteins of core module in first order network demonstrates the maximum rigidity. EEF1A1 Subnetwork demonstrated rigidity more than the parent network. Perturbing this subnetwork will largely affect the module EEF1A1 and other closely interconnected modules. This is because of the fact that we found most of the proteins showing high bridgeness value, which gives account of inter-modular links constitutes this module. It is highly evident that this module is providing rigidity to the subnetwork of Human proteome related to muscular disorders.

In functional aspect, it is known that quality of muscles are affected by age and gender [50] and the core node of this module is evidenced as core node of the core module of the Sirt family protein-protein interaction network, which is widely known to be implicated in aging related processes. However, Sirt7, a member of Sirt protein family, also showed mean interference and visits from the mutated complexes (Supplementary Table S3). Sirt family of proteins also provides functionality related to muscle development [47]. Hormone replacement therapy is used for preserving muscle strength [51] and EEF1A1 module is associated with muscle degeneration with progression of age. Core node ESR1 (estrogen receptor alpha) of EEF1A1 module is involved in menopausal processes and therefore the muscle weakening in the women also emphasizes on this fact.

The subnetworks of closely related 14.3.3 family protein YWHAE, YWHAG, YWHAZ, YWHAQ show rigidity and share large number of interacting partners, hence suggesting low tolerance level. Overall assessment of the rigidity in protein protein interaction network of the MDs evidenced towards core of the network being highly rigid with proteins having large number of visits from different mutated pairs. The perturbation in any member of 14.3.3. family subnetworks may influence connected modules and the other protein family members.

Identification of genetic alterations that cause clinical phenotypes, such as severity of diseased states and mutations especially somatic are typically very diverse in neuro-muscular disorders. These are found in different subsets of genes in different patients [52]. The complexity grows with the heterogeneity of the mutations and their associations between individual mutations and a clinical phenotype. Driver mutations are observed to contribute to cancer development [53]. Mutations driving neuro-muscular disorders may also target genes in cellular pathways and can influence first and second order interacting partners. Identification of driver nodes using network perspective [54] will provide more knowledgeable insights on dynamics of tolerance level in different modules of networks.

Functional integrity

Modular function related to core module of protein binding and protein domain specific binding is indispensable in MDs. Muscular dystrophies are largely known to be associated with inability in specific domain interactions in proteins [55]. Network modular organization resulted in functionality related to protein binding and structure molecule activity, which emphasizes on the fact that network is functionally inclined towards the specific binding of cytoskeletal protein complexes to enhance structural integrity of the assembly of interacting partners. Essential protein for protein synthesis EEF1A1 showed decline in protein abundance in wasted mutant mice leading to muscle wasting, motor neuron loss and immune system abnormalities [56]. The mutations in proteins can be considered as non functional entity in the network and thus considered as deleted which in a way can drive network towards homogeneity. Targeted or random deletion of nodes enhances homogeneity in network [57]. This homogeneity in network can proliferate the processes in unidirectional way. In other aspects of protein-protein interaction networks, modules become dysfunctional due to failure of key protein or simultaneously many proteins fail to perform function as in the case of mutations. Other modules or proteins have to share the burden of these modules or proteins or lack thereof. Hence it will be of interest to understand co-operativity issues related to driver mutations in network to which extent modules misses to perform functionality and which modules become performs functions to which extent.

Conclusions

In conclusion, PPI's analysis not only reveals important characteristics and underlying behaviors, such as key interfered candidates but also tolerance level of networks and molecular mechanisms in muscular dystrophy. Hub nodes with large genetic variants involves in different neuro- muscular diseases suggesting comorbidity. Rigidity in networks is associated with the interconnected hubs in first order network. The core of the network faster informational flow with high betweenness value in interconnected hubs. Functional rigidity in neuromuscular diseases is associated with protein binding and domain specific binding. This suggests muscular dystrophies may initiate due to failure of specific binding of the proteins which ultimately can affect interacting proteins responsible for different molecular function such as cytoskeletal remodelling, protein folding and degradation, cell signalling modulation.

Table 2: Function of the core nodes of the detected overlapping modules in first order network of seed mutated proteins

Module	**Module name**	**Assignment value**	**GO-ID**	**p-value**	**Molecular function**	**Genes**
Module 1	EEF1A1	534.90	5515	2.62E-02	Protein binding	EEF1A1, SUMO2, APP, YWHAZ, , SUMO1, ESR1, ELAVL1, CUL1, FN1
Module 2	CUL1	362.90	19899	1.81E-02	Enzyme binding	CUL3, SUMO2, HSPA5, CUL1
Module 3	ATM	119.37	5198	2.78E-02	Structural molecule activity	KRT9, KRT5, KRT10, TTN
Module 4	PRPF4	23.89	5515	4.04E-02	Protein binding	CUL3, APP, DDX23, ELAVL1, SNRNP40, LSM2, PRPF4, CSTF1, TXNL4A, FN1
Module 5	RBMBA	8.24	3676	1.10E-02	Nucleic acid binding	NUP153, APP, UPF3B, RBMBA, ELAVL1, SFSA2, NFX1,SF2A3
Module 6	SNRPF	16.58	5515	3.31E-02	Protein binding	CUL2, YWHAZ, SNRPD2,ELAVL1, ITGA4,SNRPF,SNRPE, CUL1, FN1
Module7	KRT1	91.18	5198	8.52E-06	Strucural molecule activity	KRT9, KRT6A, KRT5, KRT14, KRT1,KRT10
Module 8	SMN1	10.90	32553	3.62E-02	Ribonucleolide binding	PLK1, RAN, DDX20, HSPD1, HSPA9
Module 9	HSPAB	237.80	19904	4.01E-06	Protein domain specific binding	YWHAG, YWHAZ, YWHAH HSP90AA1,YWHAQ, YWHAE
Module 10	PCNA	110.05	30528	2.10E-03	Transcription regulator activity	HDAC2, HDAC1, RAN TP53, MYC, BRCA1
Module 11	CSNK2A1	32.56	47485	2.50E-02	Protein N-terminius binding	CSNK2A1, PARP1

Table 2: Continued :Function of the core nodes of the detected overlapping modules in first order network of seed mutated proteins

Module	**Module name**	**Assignment value**	**GO-ID**	**p-value**	**Molecular Function**	**Genes**
Module 12	MEPCE	21.66	47485	3.06E-02	Protein N-terminius binding	CSNK2A1, PARP1
Module 13	HSP90AA1	132.53	16740	3.77E-06	Transferase activity	EGFR, CDK1, MAPK1, PTK2, PTK2B, PLK1, SRC, CDK2
Module 14	RAC1	7.26	30234	3.87E-04	Enzyme regulator activity	GDI2, VAV2, ITSN1, ECT2, KALRN
Module 15	ATP5A1	34.17	5515	3.03E-02	Protein binding	IKBKE, APP, SLC25A5, ATP5B, CYCS, ATP5A1,SRC, CDK2, MDH2
Module 16	NDUFA9	11.30	16491	5.69E-09	Oxidoreductase activity	NDUFB4, NDUFS6, NDUFA9, NDUFB9, UQCRFS1, NDUFA10M, UQCRB
Module 17	NDUFS2	8.83	3824	8.29E-05	Catalytic activity	NDUFA5, NDUFB6, NDUFS4, SUCLG2, NDUFV2, NDUFS3, DLD, OGDH, NDUFS2, IDH3A
Module 18	KRT85	4.76	5198	6.85E-04	Structural molecule activity	KRT81, KRT31, KRT85, KRT34, KRT33B
Module 19	FOXK1	2.18			**No Annotation**	

Table 3: The mean interference on the nodes originated from the duplets identified on the consensus basis on topological characteristics. The proteins in bold font show higher bridgeness value (greater than 1). *q1* is the first quartile, *q2* is the median and *q3* is the third quartile.

Proteins with mean interference $\leq q1$	**Proteins with mean interference in** $(q1, q2]$	**Proteins with mean interference in** $(q2, q3]$	**Proteins with mean interference** $> q3$
ACTN1	ACTA1	ALB	ACTA2
AHCYL1	**ATP5B**	CBL	ACTG1
ATM	BRCA1	CDK1	ACTN2
BGN	CAND1	**CDK2**	ACTN3
CAV1	COPS5	CTNNB1	**ATP5A1**
CSK	DNAJA1	F7	CALM1
DDB1	EGFR	**FN1**	CEP250
DRP2	**HSP90AB1**	HNRNPA2B1	**ESR1**
GCN1L1	**HSPB1**	**HSP90AA1**	H1F0
ITGB1	**JUN**	**HSPA8**	HIST1H2AG
KRT6A	KRT14	**HSPA9**	HIST1H2BD
LAMA1	KRT5	**ITGA4**	HNRNPC
LAMA5	**MYC**	**KIAA0101**	HNRNPM
MAP2	PRKCA	KRT8	KRT18
NCSTN	RUVBL2	MYL12A	MYH2
PRX	SFN	NONO	MYH9
PSEN1	SPTAN1	PXN	MYL3
SGCA	TPM3	**SIRT7**	TSC2
SHC1	**TUBB**	TIAM1	**VIM**
SNTA1	UBR5	TPM1	YWHAE
TSC22D1	VCAM1	UBD	YWHAH
VCL		XRCC5	**YWHAZ**
WWP1			
WWP2			
YWHAB			

List of abbreviations used

Abbreviations	Full name
BC	Betweenness centrality
CACNA1S	Calcium channel, voltage-dependent, L type, alpha 1S subunit
CALM1	Calmodulin
DMD	Dystrophin
DNA	Deoxyribonucleic acid
EEF1A1	Eukaryotic Translation Elongation Factor 1 Alpha 1
ESR1	Estrogen receptor alpha
GARS	Glycyl-tRNA synthetase
GO-id	Gene Ontology ID
GYG1	Glycogenin 1
LGD, dominant	Limb girdle dystrophies, dominant
LMNA	Lamin A/C
MCC	Maximal clique centrality
MDs	Muscular dystrophies
PPI's	Protein-protein interaction
SGCA	Sarcoglycan, alpha (35kDa dystrophin-associated glycoprotein
SGCG	Sarcoglycan, gamma (35kDa dystrophin-associated glycoprotein
TTN	Titin
YWHAE	Tyrosine 3-monooxygenase/tryptophan 5-monooxygenase activation protein, epsilon polypeptide
YWHAG	Tyrosine 3-monooxygenase/tryptophan 5-monooxygenase activation protein, gamma polypeptide
YWHAQ	Tyrosine 3-monooxygenase/tryptophan 5-monooxygenase activation protein, theta polypeptide
YWHAZ	Tyrosine 3-Monooxygenase/Tryptophan 5-Monooxygenase Activation Protein, zeta polypeptide

Acknowledgement

Authors take pleasure in expressing gratitude to Dr. Naomi Fox of Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory for her valuable discussion on the results on rigidity and flexibility issues on protein-protein interaction networks. The author also thanks his colleague, Kumar Parijat Tripathi for his valuable help in curation and conversion of data. This work has been partially funded by, the Italian Flagship Project *Interomics*, The Italian PON02_00619 projects, and F.A.R. LAB-GTP initiative.

Competing Interest

The authors declare that they have no competing interest.

Authors Contribution

AS, MRG conceived of the study and designed the study with inputs from FDVB. AS, FM and MBF carried out the the studies. AS and MBF analyzed the results. AS, MBF and MRG drafted the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

References

1. Aminoff MJ, Daroff RB, Chad DA: **Neuromuscular Disorders, Overview** 2003, :494–500, [http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/B0122268709008443].

2. Bonaldo P, Sandri M: **Cellular and molecular mechanisms of muscle atrophy.** *Disease models & mechanisms* 2013, **6**:25–39, [http://dmm.biologists.org/content/6/1/25.full].

3. McNally EM, Pytel P: **Muscle diseases: the muscular dystrophies.** *Annual review of pathology* 2007, **2**:87–109, [http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18039094].

4. Hara Y, Balci-Hayta B, Yoshida-Moriguchi T, Kanagawa M, de Bernabé D, GündeÅŸli H, Willer T, Satz JS, Crawford RW, Burden SJ, Kunz S, Oldstone MBA, Accardi A, Talim B, Muntoni F, TopaloÄŸlu H, Dinçer P, Campbell KP: **A Dystroglycan Mutation Associated with Limb-Girdle Muscular Dystrophy**. *New England Journal of Medicine* 2011, **364**(10):939–946, [http://www.nejm.org/doi/full/10.1056/NEJMoa1006939].

5. Singh SM, Kongari N, Cabello-Villegas J, Mallela KMG: **Missense mutations in dystrophin that trigger muscular dystrophy decrease protein stability and lead to cross-beta aggregates.** *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 2010, **107**(34):15069–74, [http://www.pnas.org/content/107/34/15069.full].

6. Csermely P, Sandhu KS, Hazai E, Hoksza Z, Kiss HJM, Miozzo F, Veres DV, Piazza F, Nussinov R: **Disordered proteins and network disorder in network descriptions of protein structure, dynamics and function. Hypotheses and a comprehensive review**. *Current protein peptide science* 2011, **13**:27, [http://arxiv.org/abs/1101.5865].

7. Zhu X, Gerstein M, Snyder M: **Getting connected: analysis and principles of biological networks.** *Genes & development* 2007, **21**(9):1010–24, [http://genesdev.cshlp.org/content/21/9/1010.long].

8. Mihalik A, Csermely P: **Heat shock partially dissociates the overlapping modules of the yeast protein-protein interaction network: a systems level model of adaptation**. *PLoS Computational Biology* 2011, **7**(10):24, [http://arxiv.org/abs/1105.2933].

9. Newman MEJ, Girvan M: **Finding and evaluating community structure in networks**. *Phys. Rev. E* 2004, **69**:026113, [http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevE.69.026113].

10. Rives AW, Galitski T: **Modular organization of cellular networks.** *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 2003, **100**(3):1128–33, [http://www.pnas.org/content/100/3/1128].

11. Barabási a: **Emergence of Scaling in Random Networks**. *Science* 1999, **286**(5439):509–512, [http://www.sciencemag.org/cgi/doi/10.1126/science.286.5439.509].

12. Jeong H, Mason S, Barabási A, Oltvai Z: **Lethality and centrality in protein networks : Abstract : Nature**. *Nature* 2001, **411**(6833):41–42.

13. Watts DJ, Strogatz SH: **Collective dynamics of "small-world"networks**. *Nature* 1998, **393**(6684):440–442, [http://www.nature.com/nature/journal/v393/n6684/abs/393440a0.html].

14. Narayanan S: **The Betweenness Centrality Of Biological Networks A Study of Betweenness Centrality**. *PhD thesis*, Virginia Polytechnic Institute 2005.

15. Yu H, Kim PM, Sprecher E, Trifonov V, Gerstein M: **The Importance of Bottlenecks in Protein Networks: Correlation with Gene Essentiality and Expression Dynamics**. *PLoS Computational Biology* 2007, **3**(4):8, [http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17447836].

16. Gáspár ME, Csermely P: **Rigidity and flexibility of biological networks.** *Briefings in functional genomics* 2012, **11**(6):443–56, [http://arxiv.org/abs/1204.6389].

17. Fox N, Streinu I: **KINARI-Lib : A C ++ library for mechanical modeling and pebble game rigidity analysis**. In *Minisymposium on Publicly Available Geometric/Topological Software,, Jun. 17-19, 2012*, Chapel Hill, NC, USA 2012:29–32.

18. Barresi R: **From proteins to genes: immunoanalysis in the diagnosis of muscular dystrophies.** *Skeletal muscle* 2011, **1**:24, [http://www.skeletalmusclejournal.com/content/1/1/24].

19. Kaplan JC: **The 2012 version of the gene table of monogenic neuromuscular disorders.** *Neuromuscular disorders : NMD* 2011, **21**(12):833–61, [http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22250299].

20. Scardoni G, Petterlini M, Laudanna C: **Analyzing biological network parameters with CentiScaPe**. *Bioinformatics* 2009, **25**(21):2857–2859, [http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19729372].

21. Chatr-Aryamontri A, Ceol A, Palazzi LM, Nardelli G, Schneider MV, Castagnoli L, Cesareni G: **MINT: the Molecular INTeraction database**. *Nucleic Acids Research*(Database issue):D572–D574.

22. Keshava Prasad TS, Goel R, Kandasamy K, Keerthikumar S, Kumar S, Mathivanan S, Telikicherla D, Raju R, Shafreen B, Venugopal A, Balakrishnan L, Marimuthu A, Banerjee S, Somanathan DS, Sebastian A, Rani S, Ray S, Harrys Kishore CJ, Kanth S, Ahmed M, Kashyap MK, Mohmood R, Ramachandra YL, Krishna V, Rahiman BA, Mohan S, Ranganathan P, Ramabadran S, Chaerkady R, Pandey A: .

23. Schaefer CF, Anthony K, Krupa S, Buchoff J, Day M, Hannay T, Buetow KH: **PID: the Pathway Interaction Database**. *Nucleic Acids Research* 2009, **37**(Database issue):D674–D679, [http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?artid=2686461&tool=pmcentrez&rendertype=abstract].

24. Stark C, Breitkreutz BJ, Reguly T, Boucher L, Breitkreutz A, Tyers M: **BioGRID: a general repository for interaction datasets**. *Nucleic Acids Research* 2006, **34**(Database issue):D535–D539, [http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16381927].

25. Fokkema IFAC, Taschner PEM, Schaafsma GCP, Celli J, Laros JFJ, den Dunnen JT: **LOVD v.2.0: the next generation in gene variant databases.** *Human mutation* 2011, **32**(5):557–63, [http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21520333].

26. Smoot ME, Ono K, Ruscheinski J, Wang PL, Ideker T: **Cytoscape 2.8: new features for data integration and network visualization**. *Bioinformatics* 2011, **27**(3):431–432, [http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?artid=3031041&tool=pmcentrez&rendertype=abstract].

27. Bastian M, Heymann S, Jacomy M: **Gephi: An Open Source Software for Exploring and Manipulating Networks**. *International AAAI Conference on Weblogs and Social Media* 2009, :361–362, [http://www.aaai.org/ocs/index.php/ICWSM/09/paper/download/154/1009].

28. Fruchterman TMJ, Edward, Reingold EM: *Graph Drawing by Force-directed Placement*, *Volume 21 (11): 11291164*. Practice and Experience (Wiley) 1991.

29. Assenov Y, Ramirez F, Schelhorn SE, Lengauer T, Albrecht M: **Computing topological parameters of biological networks**. *Bioinformatics* 2008, **24**(2):282–284.

30. Lin CY, Chin CH, Wu HH, Chen SH, Ho CW, Ko MT: **Hubba: hub objects analyzer a framework of interactome hubs identification for network biology**. *Nucleic Acids Research* 2008, **36**(Web Server issue):W438–W443, [http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?artid=2447731&tool=pmcentrez&rendertype=abstract].

31. Stojmirović A, Yu YK: **ITM Probe: analyzing information flow in protein networks.** *Bioinformatics (Oxford, England)* 2009, **25**(18):2447–9, [http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?artid=2735661&tool=pmcentrez&rendertype=abstract].

32. Stojmirović A, Bliskovsky A, Yu YK: **CytoITMprobe: a network information flow plugin for Cytoscape.** *BMC research notes* 2012, **5**:237, [http://www.biomedcentral.com/1756-0500/5/237].

33. Szalay-Beko M, Palotai R, Szappanos B, Kovács IA, Papp B, Csermely P: **ModuLand plug-in for Cytoscape: determination of hierarchical layers of overlapping network modules and community centrality.** *Bioinformatics* 2012, **28**(16):2202–2204, [http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22718784].

34. Kovács IA, Palotai R, Szalay MS, Csermely P: **Community Landscapes: An Integrative Approach to Determine Overlapping Network Module Hierarchy, Identify Key Nodes and Predict Network Dynamics**. *PLoS ONE* 2010, **5**(9):14, [http://arxiv.org/abs/0912.0161].

35. Maere S, Heymans K, Kuiper M: **BiNGO: a Cytoscape plugin to assess overrepresentation of gene ontology categories in biological networks.** *Bioinformatics* 2005, **21**(16):3448–3449, [http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15972284].

36. Uversky VN: **Digested disorder: Quarterly intrinsic disorder digest (January/February/March, 2013)**. *Intrinsically Disordered Proteins* 2013, **1**:e25496, [https://www.landesbioscience.com/journals/idp/article/25496/].

37. Costantini S, Sharma A, Raucci R, Costantini M, Autiero I, Colonna G: **Genealogy of an ancient protein family: the Sirtuins, a family of disordered members**. *BMC Evolutionary Biology* 2013, **13**:60.

38. Sharma A, Gautam VK, Costantini S, Paladino A, Colonna G: **Interactomic and pharmacological insights on human Sirt-1**. *Frontiers IN Experimental Pharmacology and Drug Discovery* 2012, [http://www.frontiersin.org/experimental_pharmacology_and_drug_discovery/10.3389/fphar.2012.00040/abstract].

39. Oldfield CJ, Meng J, Yang JY, Yang MQ, Uversky VN, Dunker AK: **Flexible nets: disorder and induced fit in the associations of p53 and 14-3-3 with their partners.** *BMC genomics* 2008, **9 Suppl 1**(Suppl 1):S1, [http://www.biomedcentral.com/1471-2164/9/S1/S1].

40. Fox N, Jagodzinski F, Li Y, Streinu I: **KINARI-Web: a server for protein rigidity analysis.** *Nucleic acids research* 2011, **39**(Web Server issue):W177–83, [http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?artid=3125808&tool=pmcentrez&rendertype=abstract].

41. Donald Jacobs BH: **An Algorithm for Two Dimensional Rigidity Percolation: The Pebble Game**. *Journal of Computational Physics* 1997, **137**:346–365, [http://citeseerx.ist.psu.edu/viewdoc/summary?doi=10.1.1.49.8756].

42. Felsner S, Nesetril J, Lubbecke M, Lee A, Streinu I: **Pebble game algorithms and sparse graphs**. *Discrete Mathematics* 2008, **308**(8):1425–1437, [http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0012365X07005602].

43. Jacobs D, Thorpe M: **Generic Rigidity Percolation: The Pebble Game**. *Physical Review Letters* 1995, **75**(22):4051–4054, [http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevLett.75.4051].

44. White SJ, Uitte de Willige S, Verbove D, Politano L, Ginjaar I, Breuning MH, den Dunnen JT: **Sarcoglycanopathies and the risk of undetected deletion alleles in diagnosis.** *Human mutation* 2005, **26**:59, [http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15954112].

45. Hermans MCE, Pinto YM, Merkies ISJ, de Die-Smulders CEM, Crijns HJGM, Faber CG: **Hereditary muscular dystrophies and the heart.** *Neuromuscular disorders : NMD* 2010, **20**(8):479–92, [http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20627570].

46. Barabási AL, Oltvai ZN: **Network biology: understanding the cell's functional organization.** *Nature reviews. Genetics* 2004, **5**(2):101–13, [http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nrg1272].

47. Sharma A, Costantini S, Colonna G: **The protein–protein interaction network of the human Sirtuin family**. *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA)-Proteins and Proteomics* 2013, **1834**(10):1998–2009.

48. Capell BC, Collins FS: **Human laminopathies: nuclei gone genetically awry.** *Nature reviews. Genetics* 2006, **7**(12):940–52, [http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17139325].

49. Missiuro PV, Liu K, Zou L, Ross BC, Zhao G, Liu JS, Ge H: **Information flow analysis of interactome networks.** *PLoS computational biology* 2009, **5**(4):e1000350, [http://dx.plos.org/10.1371/journal.pcbi.1000350].

50. Lynch NA, Metter EJ, Lindle RS, Fozard JL, Tobin JD, Roy TA, Fleg JL, Hurley BF: **Muscle quality. I. Age-associated differences between arm and leg muscle groups.** *Journal of applied physiology (Bethesda, Md. : 1985)* 1999, **86**:188–94, [http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9887130].

51. Phillips M, Georgiev I, Dehof AK, Nickels S, Marsalek L, Lenhof HP, Hildebrandt A, Slusallek P: **Measuring properties of molecular surfaces using ray casting**. *2010 IEEE International Symposium on Parallel Distributed Processing Workshops and Phd Forum IPDPSW* 2010, :1–7, [http://ieeexplore.ieee.org/xpls/abs_all.jsp?arnumber=5470898].

52. Shoffner JM: **Oxidative phosphorylation disease diagnosis.** *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences* 1999, **893**:42–60, [http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10672229].

53. Futreal PA, Coin L, Marshall M, Down T, Hubbard T, Wooster R, Rahman N, Stratton MR: **A census of human cancer genes.** *Nature Reviews Cancer* 2004, **4**(3):177–183, [http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/14993899].

54. Liu YY, Slotine JJ, Barabasi AL: **Controllability of complex networks**. *Nature* 2011, **473**(7346):167–173, [http://www.nature.com/nature/journal/v473/n7346/abs/10.1038-nature10011-unlocked.html#supplementary-information].

55. Stone MR, O'Neill A, Catino D, Bloch RJ: **Specific interaction of the actin-binding domain of dystrophin with intermediate filaments containing keratin 19.** *Molecular biology of the cell* 2005, **16**(9):4280–93, [http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?artid=1196337&tool=pmcentrez&rendertype=abstract].

56. Khalyfa A, Bourbeau D, Chen E, Petroulakis E, Pan J, Xu S, Wang E: **Characterization of elongation factor-1A (eEF1A-1) and eEF1A-2/S1 protein expression in normal and wasted mice.** *The Journal of biological chemistry* 2001, **276**(25):22915–22, [http://www.jbc.org/content/276/25/22915.long].

57. Ghoshal G, Chi L, Barabási AL: **Uncovering the role of elementary processes in network evolution.** *Scientific reports* 2013, **3**:2920, [http://www.nature.com/srep/2013/131010/srep02920/full/srep02920.html].

Supplementary Figures



Figure S1: Interconnected high degree proteins (hub nodes) in first order network. Size of the node corresponds to the linkedness of the node.



Figure S2: Rigidity and flexibility analysis obtained from Kinari-LIB for all possible combination of $k = 1$ and $l = 1$ until $k = 6$ and $l = 6$ for the subnetworks of nodes listed in table 2 which showed largest mean interference values calculated from emitting model of ITM Probe.

Supplementary Tables

Table S1: Genetic variants associated causative genes of neuro-muscular diseases downloaded from Leiden Open Variant Database

Name	Number of variants	Name	Number of variants	Name	Number of variants
ACTA1	378	GAN	6	PLEC	67
ACTC1	142	GARS	107	PLEKHG5	0
AGRN	32	GDAP1	0	PMP22	2
ANKRD1	170	GFPT1	75	POMGNT1	244
ANO5	336	GJB1	0	POMT1	396
ARHGEF10	14	GK	176	POMT2	156
ASAH1	19	GMPPB	27	PRPS1	0
ATL1	13	GNB4	11	PRX	0
B3GALNT2	28	GNE	741	PTRF	42
B3GNT1	26	GTDC2	14	RAB7A	8
BAG3	20	HSPB1	0	RAPSN	1008
BANF1	38	HSPB3	0	RYR1	2116
BIN1	70	HSPB8	0	SBF2	0
BSCL2	0	IGHMBP2	172	SEPN1	1301
CAPN3	2831	IKBKAP	0	SEPT9	0
CAV3	467	ISCU	72	SETX	12
CCDC78	5	ISPD	101	SGCA	753
CCT5	13	ITGA7	179	SGCB	354
CFL2	44	KBTBD13	59	SGCD	525
CHAT	102	KIF1B	0	SGCE	323
CHKB	37	KLHL40	56	SGCG	966
CHRNA1	208	LAMA2	1503	SGCZ	16
CHRNB1	198	LAMP2	97	SH3TC2	0
CHRND	194	LARGE	63	SLC12A6	0
CHRNE	418	LDB3	101	SMCHD1	95
CNTN1	8	LITAF	0	SMN1	542
COL6A1	313	LMNA	3850	SOX10	0
COL6A2	278	MATR3	123	SPTLC1	0
COL6A3	364	MFN2	2	SPTLC2	0
COLQ	211	MICU1	23	SSPN	16

Table S1: Genetic variants associated causative genes of neuro-muscular diseases downloaded from Leiden Open Variant Database. (Table continued)

Name	Number of variants	Name	Number of variants	Name	Number of variants
CRYAB	95	MPZ	2	SYNE1	163
CTDP1	0	MSTN	312	SYNE2	7
DAG1	134	MTM1	529	TCAP	75
DCTN1	0	MTMR14	36	TMEM5	36
DES	305	MTMR2	0	TNNI2	61
DMD	25828	MUSK	139	TNNI3	0
DMD_d	9235	MYBPC3	3	TNNT1	28
DNAJB6	81	MYH7	4	TNNT2	1145
DNM2	130	MYL2	80	TNNT3	17
DOK7	501	MYL3	74	TNPO3	65
DPM3	5	MYOT	140	TPM1	177
DTNA	1	MYOZ1	11	TPM2	517
DUX4	159	MYOZ2	39	TPM3	78
DYSF	2292	MYOZ3	19	TRAPPC11	8
EGR2	0	MYPN	1474	TRDN	12
EMD	222	NDRG1	0	TRIM32	123
FAM134B	0	NEB	243	TTN	3686
FGD4	0	NEFL	3	TTR	0
FHL1	70	NGF	0	VCP	161
FIG4	0	NTRK1	724	VMA21	49
FKRP	1007	PABPN1	520	WNK1	0
FKTN	577	PDK3	26	YARS	0
FLNC	39	PDLIM3	21	ZMPSTE24	1745

Table S2: Top core nodes of the overlapping modules detected by ModuLand framework

Module 1	**Module 2**	**Module 3**	**Module 4**	**Module 5**	**Module 6**	**Module 7**
EEF1A1	CUL3	ATM	PRPF4	RBM8A	SNRPF	KRT1
SUMO2	SUMO2	DDB1	LSM2	UPF3B	SNRPE	KRT14
ELAVL1	COPS5	TTN	CSTF1	CDC40	SNRPD2	KRT5
FN1	CAND1	GCN1L1	SNRNP40	APP	FN1	KRT6A
ESR1	CUL1	DICER1	TXNL4A	NFX1	YWHAZ	KRT10
KIAA0101	ELAVL1	DCD	FN1	FN1	ELAVL1	CDCP1
CUL1	FN1	KRT10	APP	ELAVL1	CDK2	KRT9
APP	APP	RANBP2	CUL3	SF3A2	ITGA4	ATM
YWHAZ	ESR1	KRT9	ELAVL1	NUP153	CUL2	CBL
SUMO1	HSPA5	KRT5	DDX23	SF3A3	CUL1	GRB2
Module 8	**Module 9**	**Module 10**	**Module 11**	**Module 12**	**Module 13**	**Module 14**
SMN1	HSPA8	PCNA	CSNK2A1	MEPCE	HSP90AA1	RAC1
DDX20	YWHAE	S100A8	SART1	PRPF31	CDK1	GDI2
UBR5	HSP90AA1	HDAC1	NUP188	CSNK2A1	PLK1	RHOA
CALM1	YWHAQ	BRCA1	NUP93	PARP1	SRC	ECT2
PLK1	YWHAG	HDAC2	PARP1	CSNK2B	EGFR	VAV2
HSPA9	YWHAZ	TP53	MEPCE	PLS3	PTK2	ITSN1
RAN	YWHAH	RAN	CSNK2B	PIN1	TUBB	RHOD
PSMA3	CDK1	HSPB1	PRPF31	NUP93	CDK2	KALRN
HSPD1	TUBB	MDC1	PIN1	GFM1	MAPK1	RHOG
MYC	PLK1	MYC	PLS3	MRPS16	PTK2B	RAC2
Module 15	**Module 16**	**Module 17**	**Module 18**	**Module 19**		
ATP5A1	NDUFA9	NDUFS2	KRT85	FOXK1		
ATP5B	NDUFA10	NDUFA5	KRT31	FOXK2		
ATP5C1	NDUFB4	IDH3A	KRT33B	MPP7		
APP	ATP5J2	DLD	KRT34	SCLT1		
MDH2	CYCS	OGDH	KRT81	DYNLL2		
IKBKE	UQCRH	NDUFV2	ADSL	LONP2		
CDK2	NDUFB9	NDUFS3	USP15	LIN7A		
SLC25A5	NDUFS6	NDUFB6	LGALS7	NIN		
SRC	UQCRFS1	NDUFS4	LRRC15	BAG3		
CYCS	UQCRB	SUCLG2	PGAM2	MPP5		

Table S3: Number of times proteins received interference and the mean inference of the node.

Protein	Number of duplets that affect this protein	Mean interference	Protein	Number of duplets that affect this protein	Mean interference
YWHAZ	54	0.03	ITGA4	9	0.02
ACTB	50	0.02	LARGE	9	0.01
HSP90AA1	50	0.02	NCSTN	9	0.01
MYH9	47	0.02	PRX	9	0
YWHAG	47	0.02	VCAM1	9	0.02
YWHAQ	47	0.02	CAV3	8	0.01
YWHAE	46	0.02	DRP2	8	0
APP	44	0.02	MYH13	8	0.02
SUMO2	44	0.02	MYL3	8	0.02
VIM	44	0.02	EGFR	7	0.02
CALM1	43	0.02	HSPD1	7	0.01
ELAVL1	43	0.02	LAMA1	7	0
YWHAH	43	0.02	LAMA5	7	0.01
ESR1	42	0.02	DES	6	0.02
CBL	41	0.02	HIST1H2BD	6	0.02
EEF1A1	41	0.02	KRT1	6	0.02
ATP5A1	39	0.02	MYH2	6	0.02
HNRNPC	39	0.02	ACTN3	5	0.02
RPS3	39	0.02	CDK1	5	0.02
ACTG1	38	0.02	H1F0	5	0.02
FN1	38	0.02	RALY	5	0.02
CUL3	37	0.02	SDHB	5	0
TTN	30	0.02	TPM3	5	0.02
HNRNPA2B1	28	0.02	COPS5	4	0.02
MYL12A	28	0.02	KRT10	4	0.02
H2AFX	27	0.02	PXN	4	0.02
TUBB2A	27	0.02	YWHAB	4	0.01
KRT8	25	0.02	ACTC1	3	0.02
CDK2	22	0.02	ALB	3	0.02
HSPA5	22	0.02	FLNA	3	0.02
KIAA0101	22	0.02	HIST1H1D	3	0.02
KRT17	22	0.02	HSPB1	3	0.01
GRB2	21	0.02	KRT9	3	0.02
MYH11	21	0.02	MAP2	3	0
ACTA2	20	0.02	NONO	3	0.02

Table S3: Number of times proteins received interference and the mean inference of the node. (Table continued)

Protein	Number of duplets that affect this protein	Mean interference	Protein	Number of duplets that affect this protein	Mean interference
HSPA8	20	0.02	ACTA1	2	0.02
TP53	20	0.02	ACTN4	2	0.01
KRT18	19	0.02	CAND1	2	0.02
CEP250	18	0.02	DDB1	2	0.01
TSC2	18	0.02	GAPDH	2	0.02
HIST1H1C	17	0.02	GCN1L1	2	0.01
HSPA9	17	0.02	HNRNPM	2	0.02
SRC	17	0.02	POLR2E	2	0.02
TUBA1A	17	0.02	S100A8	2	0.02
VCP	17	0.02	SPTAN1	2	0.01
ACTN1	15	0.01	TIAM1	2	0.02
CUL1	15	0.02	UBD	2	0.02
LMNA	15	0.02	XPO1	2	0.02
PTK2	14	0.01	XRCC5	2	0.02
SUMO1	13	0.02	AHCYL1	1	0.01
TUBB	13	0.02	ATM	1	0.01
VCL	13	0.01	ATP5B	1	0.02
ACTN2	12	0.02	BRCA1	1	0.02
CAV1	12	0.01	CACNA1S	1	0.03
CSK	12	0.01	CTNNB1	1	0.02
DMD	12	0.01	DHX9	1	0.01
FYN	12	0.02	DICER1	1	0.01
ITGB1	12	0.01	DNAJA1	1	0.02
MYC	12	0.02	F7	1	0.02
MYH7	12	0.02	HSPA1L	1	0.02
NCK1	12	0.01	JUN	1	0.01
PIK3R1	12	0.01	KRT14	1	0.01
SHC1	12	0.01	KRT5	1	0.01
SIRT7	12	0.02	KRT6A	1	0.01
TLN1	12	0.01	MAGI1	1	0
TPM1	12	0.02	MYLK2	1	0.02
UTRN	12	0.01	NCL	1	0.02
HIST1H2AG	11	0.02	NCOA3	1	0.01

Table S3: Number of times proteins received interference and the mean inference of the node. (Table continued)

Protein	Number of duplets that affect this protein	Mean interference	Protein	Number of duplets that affect this protein	Mean interference
HSPG2	11	0.01	PABPC1	1	0.01
PRKDC	11	0.02	PRKCA	1	0.01
PSEN1	11	0.01	RAC1	1	0.02
SGCA	11	0.01	RUVBL2	1	0.02
SH3KBP1	11	0.01	SFN	1	0.01
SNTA1	11	0.01	TSC22D1	1	0.01
VASP	11	0.02	UBR5	1	0.02
BGN	9	0.01	WWP1	1	0
HSP90AB1	9	0.02	WWP2	1	0

Table S4: Proteins in first order network with maximum mean interference values from pairs of key proteins known as causative agents in muscular dystrophy.

Proteins with mean interference $> q90$	Number of duplets affecting the protein	Mean interference
YWHAZ	54	0.026
ACRB	50	0.024
MYH9	47	0.022
YWHAG	47	0.021
YWHAQ	47	0.021
YWHAE	46	0.021
CALM1	43	0.021
EEF1A1	41	0.02
ACTG1	38	0.02
CEP250	18	0.02
MYH13	8	0.021
MYH2	6	0.023
ACTN3	5	0.022
POLR2E	2	0.02
CACNA1S	1	0.025

Table S5: DOF (Degrees of freedom), overconstraints calculated using Pebble game algorithm for variable ($k = 2$, $l = 3$) and network topology of the subnetworks showing maximum mean interference from Emitting model of ITMPROBE for variable

	DOF	Overconstraints	% DOF vs Overconstraints	nodes	vertices	average degree	clustering coefficient	density	heterogeneity	path length
EEF1A1	259400	21048	8.11	619	27173	87.79	0.60	0.14	0.65	1.85
YWHAE	11602	1624	13.99	406	12411	61.13	0.66	0.15	0.71	1.84
YWHAG	9205	1324	14.38	331	9864	59.60	0.65	0.18	0.69	1.81
YWHAZ	20186	3564	17.65	595	21371	71.95	0.55	0.12	0.76	1.87
YWHAQ	10546	2371	22.48	395	11332	57.37	0.62	0.14	0.78	1.85
MYH9	8060	1849	22.94	308	8672	56.31	0.62	0.18	0.60	1.81
ACRN3	1160	367	31.63	61	1278	41.90	0.93	0.69	0.41	1.30
POLR2E	12162	8988	73.90	331	12801	79.75	0.71	24	0.569	1.75
ACTB	12715	9482	74.57	431	13574	62.98	0.53	0.14	0.75	1.85
ACTG1	4760	5545	116.49	231	5218	45.17	0.62	0.19	0.698	1.63
CEP250	5898	8140	138.01	185	6265	67.73	0.89	0.36	0.347	1.80
MYH13	1389	2520	181.42	63	1512	48	0.92	0.77	0.26	1.22
MYH2	1617	3242	200.49	90	1792	39.88	0.88	0.44	0.40	1.55
CALM1	5091	10477	205.79	349	5779	33.11	0.55	0.09	0.902	1.90
CACNA1S	1130	2377	210.35	54	1234	45.70	0.96	0.86	0.268	1.13